

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/864,093	05/21/2001	Gerald R. Clark	70651	1812	
22242	7590 02/02/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FITCH EVEN TABIN AND FLANNERY			PHAN, HANH		
120 SOUTH SUITE 1600	LA SALLE STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	IL 60603-3406	·	2638		
			DATE MAILED: 02/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
* Advisory Action	09/864,093	CLARK ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hanh Phan	2638				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 09 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS						
THE REPLY FILED 09 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expired the shortened of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	n the same day as filing a Notice of Diving replies: (1) an amendment, a police of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replies of the final rejection. The office of the final date of the petition under 37 CFR 1.136 (and the corresponding amount of the fee. and the corresponding amount of the fee. at the mailing date of the final rejection pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37 must be extension)	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C y must be filed within e final rejection, whichever the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILED and the appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, materials of the filed within two mones, to avoid dismissal of the filed within two mones.	ence, which EFR 41.31; or one of the or is later. In no D WITHIN TWO ension fee have in fee under 37 as set forth in (b) y reduce any this of the date of the appeal.			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying				
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 	121. See attached Notice of Non-C ;):					
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 9 and 19. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 5-8,10-12, 16-18, 20-22, 24-29, 31-Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	ovided below or appended.	rill be entered and an	explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	s necessary			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. \$	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by						
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:		•				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments to claims 1, 5-8, 10-12, 16-18, 20-22, 24-29, 31-35, 38-43 and 46-50 are not persuasive. The independent claims 1, 12, 20, 29, 35 and 43 are now amended to include the limitation of "wherein the step of converting the fiber interface fundamental wavelength of the first optical signal to a free space fundamental wavelength is performed all-optically without using electro-optical conversion, and wherein the step of converting the free space fundamental wavelength of the second optical signal to a fiber interface fundamental wavelength is performed all-optically without using electro-optical conversion" and the applicant argues that the cited references (Medved et al and Geiger) fail to teach such limitation. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Geiger teaches in Figure 1 that using an optical parametric oscillator (OPO) for converting a fiber interface fundamental wavelength of a first optical signal to a free space fundamental wavelength is performed all-optically without using electro-optical conversion and using an optical parametric amplifier (OPA) for converting a free space fundamental wavelength of a second optical signal to a fiber interface fundamental wavelength is performed all-optically without using electro-optical conversion (see column 3, lines 20-56). Therefore, it is believed that the limitations of claims 1, 5-8, 10-12, 16-18, 20-22, 24-29, 31-35, 38-43, and 46-50 are still met by the combination of Medved, Geiger, Ransford and Kumar and the rejection is still maintained.

HANH PHAN
PRIMARY EXAMINED